

# 378-390 Pacific Highway - Crows Nest Futuro Capital



# PLANNING PROPOSAL

Designing for country

We would like to acknowledge the Cammeraygal people of the Eora Nation, the traditional custodians of this land and pay our respects to the Elders both past and present

Each and every project is an opportunity to engage and respond to Aboriginal cultural connections to Country, By respecting and celebrating the value and significance of both Aboriginal heritage and contemporary Aboriginal culture our built environment is enriched and anchored into its specific location, its stories and varied experiences.

# Indicative Massing Summary

Control Type	Site Specific	Indicative Massing
Height Limit	24 Storeys Maximum	24 Storeys
	(2036 Plan)	- x 16 Storey Tower
		- x 4 Mixed Amenities
		- x 4 Storey Podium
Overall FSR	7.5 : 1	7.2:1
	(2036 Plan)	
Non-Residential	2 : 1 Minimum	2 : 1 Podium
FSR	(2036 Plan)	
Residential FSR	5.5:1	5.2:1
	(2036 Plan)	
Setbacks	2036 Plan & DCP	Refer Diagram Below
	••••••	••••••







#### Regulatory Summary

Regulatory Document	As Stated	WB Comme
SLCN 2036 Plan	– p70 map inficates 3m Pacific Highway setback.	
North Sydney Council DCP	– Part C 3.1.3 P10 Above Podium Setbacks map indicates 3m setbacks to Pacific Highway and Hume Street.	
ADG Guidelines	– 6m non-habitable wall facing possible future residential development.	

_	23	425.00	1
	22	425.00	
	21	425.00	
	20	425.00	
	19	425.00	
	18	425.00	
	17	425.00	
	16	425.00	
	15	425.00	
	14	425.00	
	13	425.00	
	12	425.00	
	11	425.00	
	10	425.00	
	9	425.00	
	8	425.00	
	7		
	6		
	5		
	4	100	
_	3	502.00	
	2	626.00	
	1	651.00	
	G	739.00	
	0	, 35.00	

#### 378-390 Pacific Hwy - Site Area 1309m2

#### **Commercial Area**

TOTAL	2036 Plan
2618.00	2618.00
2.00	2.00

Residential Area		
TOTAL	2036 Plan	
6800.00	7199.50	
5.20	5.50	

#### **Overall Areas**

	TOTAL	2036 Plan
GFA	9418.00	9817.50
FSR		7.50

# **Executive Summary**

# Contents

This Concept Urban Design Report has been prepared to accompany the Planning Proposal for 378-390 Pacific Highway in Crows Nest and demonstrates how the site can accommodate the future urban development outcome envisaged by the controls.

Included within is an outline summary of the objectives provided in both the St Leonards Crows Nest 2036 Plan (the SLCN Plan) and the North Sydney Council DCP for Commercial & Mixed Use Development. Further is the exploration for a recommended building envelope considerate of these guidelines and suggests opportunities towards achieving the optimal solution from an Urban standpoint.

Basic planning and area calculations are included although indicative at this stage and are subject to further Architectural development.

The overall configuration of the design is compliant with the 2036 Plan and results in a 24 storey building with a top RL of 175.4m

The built form is broken into a four storey podium housing a commercial offer of 2618m2 GFA which equates to 2 : 1 FSR, four levels of amenities have been provided between the podium and the residential tower form which offers 72 apartments at 6800m2 GFA for an FSR of 5.2 : 1 over 16 floors.

6800m2 Residential GFA 2618m2 Commercial GFA



01 Existing Conditions

02 The Base

03 Northern Development Scenarios

04 The Tower

# WILLOUGHBY

E

Crows Nest/ St Leonards Planning Area

Pacifi

Hugh

Crows Nest/ St Leonards Planning Area

Wollstonecraft/ Waverton Planning Area

na di sana di s Na sana di sana d

LANE COVE LGA



# Site History - Shaped By Transport



#### **Nature & First Nation Peoples**

- Sydney Harbour North Shore, rocky undulating shoreline rising to the north with trees, bush & moderately deep waters
- Trails navigating the rugged landscape along ridgelines that in later years become more significant thoroughfares
- Rock Shelters for fishing & cooking



- Crows Nest Cottage established atop the hills in early 1820's as part of the Wollstonecraft/Berry Estate



#### **Expansion & Interconnectivity**

- trams







- Crows Nest area is expanded with the north shore rail line in the 1890's - Early 1900's connectivity around Crows Nest is increased by car, rail &

- Existing trails along ridgelines become highways for transport

# Site History - Shaped By Transport



#### Opening of Sydney Harbour Bridge

- Active settlement of Crows Nest began in 1932 with the opening of the Sydney Harbour Bridge.
- The idea for the bridge was spurred into reality following the construction of Sydney Central Station in 1906 and ambitions to further expand the rail network.



#### Warringah Freeway

- Introduction of the Warringah freeway in 1968 made the area more built around car-centric travel
- Highway lines follow the old ridge access trails



#### Introduction of Metro & 2036 Plan

S O

- The 30 minute City







- Metro line to be built, reducing the reliance on the car

- A return to Pedestrian friendly living & urban spaces



# **Existing Conditions**



## **Planning Objectives Checklist**



· · ·	
ity,	
e day	
ents	
lic	
<i>u</i>	
lic the	

# Pacific Hwy 2036 Urban Plan



378-390 proposed massing

Metro and OSD under construction

Developments proposed in the 2036 Plan

# Pacific Hwy SLCN 2036 Plan



378-390 proposed massing

Metro and OSD under construction

Developments proposed in the 2036 Plan

# **Urban Design Principles**

#### 01. Feet First

Making the environment inclusive and safe.

Prioritize the pedestrian and facilitate active transportation.

#### Today

- Car-dominant urban realm

 – Unsafe environment for Bikes & Pedestrians

- Air & Noise Pollution



Catalysts



New Metro Link



The 2036 Plan



#### Future

- 01. The pedestrian experience is brought to the fore.
- 02. Activated frontage to each street provide passive surveillance.
- 03. Level access to each façade to provide equity to each entrance.
- 04. Provide space for street activity
- Clear hierarchy of routes from Pacific Highway (commercial and major F&B), Hume Street (residential and fine grain retail) and laneway (providing quieter entrance off Pacific Highway

#### Future

- Undertake deep study of the site to understand evolution pre-European to today.
- Anchor in place with local materials traditional to context that improve with age.
- Envelope, arrangement and massing informed by environmental performance to reduce energy use from the outset- a site specific response.
- Develop an architecture of visual solidity to anchor the building to the site.

#### 02. Sense Of Place

The first four floors of the street make the place.

#### Today

- Current buildings are generic and could be anywhere in the world.
- No relationship to street, scale or orientation.









# **Urban Design Principles**

#### 03. Nature Abundant

Loosen the reins under which we hold nature.

Celebrate ecology and our place within.

#### Today

- Exposed & shallow sidewalks with an overreliance on hardscaping.
- A shortage of street trees, needed for providing wind buffering & shade in summer.



#### Catalysts



New Metro Link



The 2036 Plan



#### Future

- Building set back to allow street trees to thrive.
- Future vehicle electification brings to life reincarnation of Pacific Highway as a tree lined urban boulevard.
- Maximise opportunities within building for extensive climate appropriate planting within soil, for climate resilience and a habitat for birds and insects.
- Benefits for local environmental quality, wellbeing and ecology.

#### 04. Enabling the 18 Hour City

Creating the stage, buildings and urban realm to allow all uses to thrive.

A range of spaces and building forms to allow every a variety of uses.

#### Today

- An over-abundance of closed frontages with limited accessability, such as gyms, showrooms and vehicular entrances.
- Mostly daytime active, recessed entrances create anti-social spaces at night.





- O1. Residential & commercial entrances that are open during the day and secure in the evenings, access and egress with no disturbance to neighbours hile providing space for greeting and waiting for transport pickup.
- 02. Daytime active office spaces, with natural light & mixed mode ventilation.
- O3. Discreetly entered F&B offerings that activate the street during the day and in the evening.
- 04. Fine grain retail to activate secondary street frontages.
- Evolution to a streetscape of mixed uses.







North Sydney Council DCP



- C Heritage listed Higgins Building







#### WB Comment

# Existing Conditions on Pacific Highway



WOODS BAGOT

# Heritage Item - Higgins Building

#### 366-376 Pacific Highway

- Group of six shopfronts dating to 1923
- Two storey brick and rendered masonry commercial building with shoptop offices and residences above
- Inter War Free Classical style with Victorian attributes
- Ground floor significantly altered, however upper levels retain heritage integrity
- Small scale street development is largely representative of what was the emerging commercial nature of Crows Nest at the time





3 storey commercial building with ground floor retail with generous Pacific Highway planting.

X Architectural style insensitive to neighbouring heritage buildings

2 storey commercial building with double frontage ground floor retail

- Ground floor facade poorly preserved, finished in unoriginal tiles
- Second storey white painted brick facade



- Second storey exposed brick facade with well preserved parapet and pediment





General Heritage Item



- Item numbers 10166, 10167, 10168, 10169, 10170, 10172



2 storey commercial building, two

- ground floor retailers
- chamfered corner – Awning, with pressed metal underside, wraps around onto Hume Street



376 Hume Street

2 storey commercial building, two

– Entrance to retail on



# Existing Site

#### **Street Elevations**



Pacific Highway - Existing



Hume Street - Existing

#### 378-390 Pacific Highway



#### 378

#### 390

3 Storey commercial building with ground floor retail and garage access on Hume Street

– Site Area: 337m2

#### 382

3 Storey commercial building housing fitness centre

– Site Area: 413m2

#### 388

2 Storey commercial with ground floor retail

– Site Area: 345m2

2 Storey commercial with ground floor retail setback from site boundary

– Site Area: 308m2

The Base of 378-390 Pacific Highway is a commercial area of 2618m2 GFA at an FSR of 2:1. It will offer a mix of large and fine grain sized retail premises.

As a key corner site, The Base form opens up to the intersection, contributing additional public space to cater for the expected future activation at the intersection by the upcoming metro development.

The West boundary of the podium has been designed to be compliant with the DCP, with a stepped form that matches the existing condition of the current neighbouring properties along Pacific Highway including the directly adjacent property to the North, the details of which will be explored in more detail as part of this section.

This design will also suggest a significant green microclimate with deep soil planting for taller trees to avoid becoming a heat island and to provide privacy and protection between the street and the tower.



# The Base

# Engaging The Street





Regulatory Document	As Stated
SLCN 2036 Plan	– p70 Setbacks Map, setbacks indicated broadly as 3m along Pacific Highway.
North Sydney Council DCP	– Part C 3.1.3 Figure C-3.2 Building Setbacks Map, 0m setbacks shown.

A Setbacks as per 2036 plan - Indicated as 3m along Pacific Highway up until Hume Street.
 B Setbacks as per DCP regulations.



#### WB Comment

Setbacks

 Apparent discrepancy between 2036 plan (indicated as 3m) and DCP (as 0m)

# Podium

heritage building



View North West along Pacific Highway



View North West along Pacific Highway



#### Regulatory Summary

Regulatory Document	As Stated	WB Co
SLCN 2036 Plan	– p69 Street wall height is nominated to be 4 storeys.	
North Sydney Council DCP	– Part C 3.1.3 P8 Podiums are to be provided in accordance with the building podiums map *Podiums map indicates 4 storey podium.	
	<ul> <li>Part C 3.1.3 P9 Despite P8, corner sites are to maintain a consistent podium height to all street frontages to achieve consistent built form.</li> </ul>	

WOODS BAGOT

A Podium built to 4 storey heightB Possible future residential developmentC Street activation



Proposed floor to floor heights

Comment

 $\gg$ 

# DCP Height Plane



Figure B-2.2 from North Sydney DCP 2013



Section showing the 45° height plane, starting at 3.5m above ground level



#### Regulatory Summary

Regulatory Document	As Stated
SLCN 2036 Plan	— p66 Building height is nominated as 24 Storeys.
North Sydney Council DCP	<ul> <li>Part B Section 2.4.3 P7 (a) at 3.5m above ground level (existing) and projected at an angle of 45 degrees internally to the site from all boundaries that directly adjoin land zoned R2 Low Density Residential, R3 Medium Density Residential, R4 High Density Residential.</li> </ul>



View South West along Hume Street



View North East along Hume Street

#### WB Comment

# Habitable Spaces Analysis

Key Plan - Habitable/Non-Habitable





# Habitable Spaces Analysis



service and plant areas as non-habitable.

Where applying separation to buildings on adjoining sites, apply half the minimum separation distance measured to the boundary. This distributes the building separation equally between sites (consider relationship with section 3F Visual privacy).

WOODS BAGOT

Considerations in setting building separation controls	
Design and test building separation controls in plan and section	
Test building separation controls for sunlight and daylight access to buildings and open spaces	
Minimum separation distances for buildings are:	
Up to four storeys (approximately 12m):	
12m between habitable rooms/balconies	
9m between habitable and non-habitable rooms +4.5m	
6m between non-habitable rooms	
Five to eight storeys (approximately 25m):	
18m between habitable rooms/balconies	
12m between habitable and non-habitable rooms	
9m between non-habitable rooms	
Nine storeys and above (over 25m):	
24m between habitable rooms/balconies	
18m between habitable and non-habitable rooms	
12m between non-habitable rooms	
Building separation may need to be increased to achieve adequate sunlight access and enough open space on the site, for example on slopes	
Increase building separation proportionally to the building height to achieve amenity and privacy for building occupants and a desirable urban form	
At the boundary between a change in zone from apartment buildings to a lower density area, increase the building setback from the boundary by 3m	+3r
No building separation is necessary where building types incorporate blank party walls. Typically this occurs along a main street or at podium levels within centres	
Required setbacks may be greater than required building separations to achieve better amenity outcomes	

## SouthWest Boundary - ADG Separation Distances



siderations in setting building separation controls
ign and test building separation controls in plan and section
building separation controls for sunlight and daylight ess to buildings and open spaces
mum separation distances for buildings are:
o four storeys (approximately 12m):
2m between habitable rooms/balconies
m between habitable and non-habitable rooms
m between non-habitable rooms
to eight storeys (approximately 25m):
8m between habitable rooms/balconies
2m between habitable and non-habitable rooms
m between non-habitable rooms
e storeys and above (over 25m):
4m between habitable rooms/balconies
8m between habitable and non-habitable rooms
2m between non-habitable rooms
ding separation may need to be increased to achieve quate sunlight access and enough open space on the site, example on slopes
ease building separation proportionally to the building ht to achieve amenity and privacy for building occupants a desirable urban form
ne boundary between a change in zone from apartment dings to a lower density area, increase the building setback the boundary by 3m
puilding separation is necessary where building types rporate blank party walls. Typically this occurs along a n street or at podium levels within centres
uired setbacks may be greater than required building arations to achieve better amenity outcomes



Apartment Design Guide 37

# Adjacent Development Potential

#### Area Analysis

- 2036 Plan Required FSR = 2:1 over 4 levels.
- Site Area = 1257m2
- G / L1 / L2 / L3 Total BUA = 3352m2
- GFA = 75% x BUA = 2514m2
- GFA (2514m2) / Site Area (1257m2)



3m setback applied as per 2036 plan for Nicholson Street



#### **Regulatory Summary**

Regulatory Document	As Stated	
SLCN 2036 Plan	– p70 Setbacks along Nicholson St. are nominated to be 3m.	

North Sydney Council DCP

A Assumed L-shaped apartment block with central court for maximum number of units and solar exposure.



Section through potential apartment block

#### WB Comment

– 29-33 Nicholson Street currently zoned
as R3 Medium Residential, not permitting
residential flat buildings. Any future
planning proposal would require the site to
be rezoned as R4 High Density Residential.



#### **Regulatory Summary**

	<b>o</b> , , ,	
Regulatory Document	As Stated	WB Cor
SLCN 2036 Plan	– p70 Setbacks along Pacific Highway are nominated to be 3m.	– Prefe front
	<ul> <li>Part C 3.1.3 P7 Zero setback to all street frontages, with the exception of the setbacks on the Building Setbacks Map (refer to Figure C-3.2) *Figure C-3.2 indicates zero setbacks.</li> </ul>	
North Sydney Council DCP		
2018 Heritage Report	<ul> <li>Awning height and façade articulation of the Pacific Highway station building could respond to that of the heritage-listed shops on the opposite side of the road.</li> </ul>	
	– New development should be sympathetic to adjacent heritage items in terms of setbacks, height, form, materials and articulation	



#### 45 degree stepping maintained



#### Consistent alignment along Hume Street

#### Comment

eferable alignment with existing street ontage and heritage buildings

\_\_\_\_\_

------

# Laneway Placement



Kimber Lane



Angel Place



#### Regulatory Summary

Regulatory Document	As Stated
SLCN 2036 Plan	
North Sydney Council DCP	– Part B 2.4.4 Objective-2 To ensure that laneways are integrated into pedestrian network.
	<ul> <li>Part C 3.1.3 P6 Developments on land greater than 1,000m<sup>2</sup> should consider the incorporation of internal courtyards adjacent to laneways and through site links to broaden the range and form of open space in the locality.</li> </ul>



# Utilising Intersection Corner



OJ Williams building, Crows Nest



Crows Nest Hotel



Regulatory Document	As Stated
SLCN 2036 Plan	
North Sydney Council DCP	
2018 Heritage Report	<ul> <li>Awning height and façade articulation of the Pacific Highway station building could respond to that of the heritage-listed shops on the opposite s of the road.</li> </ul>
	- New development should be sympathetic to adjacent beritage items in terms of setbacks, beight, form, materials and articulation

# Microclimate







Willoughby Road



Green Walls





Street Trees

#### Vertical Gardens

**Ridge Planting** 



Short Lane



Rooftop Terrace

# Servicing and Parking



Existing garage entrance - proposed location unchanged



#### Regulatory Summary

Regulatory Document	As Stated
SLCN 2036 Plan	
North Sydney Council DCP	





Hume Street entrance to basement parking

#### WB Comment

# Planning Objectives Response





05 MOVEMENT

#### 30 Minute City

Providing new residences opposite the station development our scheme will strengthen Pacific Hwy/Hume street as a transport oriented development, providing residents easy commutes to the city.



# Planning Objectives Response







#### Safe Public Spaces

- Creation of safe public space an the busy Pacific
   Highway corner, allowing for the heaving traffic
   expected with the Metro development
- Activated through site links to provide retail opportunities

#### Mixed and Active Uses

- Podium provides opportunities for varied F+B functions, retailers and commercial space
- Range of uses ensures activation throughout all times of the day, creating lively, safe spaces

#### Vegetation and Street Trees

- Allowance of space for deep soil planting on the street
- Amenities level to be utilised as a shared green space



#### Protective Canopy

 Awnings protect the ground plane from environmental factors include winds and rain, increasing amenity on the street

 Entrances to both residential and F+B functions protected



With consideration for the envisioned future of Crows Nest & St Leonards in the 2036 Plan; this section aims to determine the most likely development scenario along Pacific Highway between Hume Street to Oxley Street and how our proposal could interface with them.

The preferred tower location for our site is guided by keeping setback distances with neighbouring boundaries, be considerate of the ADG Guides to achieve 360 degrees of possible tower articulation as well as being a strong urban focal point marking the intersection of both Pacific Highway and Hume Street adjacent to the new Metro OSD.

North Sydney Council has expressed concern that a future row of towers along Pacific Highway with close separation distances would be an unfavourable outcome.

This study illustrates that the most likely result will be a single tower with generous separation distance to our own at Oxley Street & Pacific Hwy as either a development of 402-420 alone or 398 + 402-420 amalgamated (as they are currently separately owned) to a maximum of 7.5 : 1 FSR as allowed by the 2036 Plan.

The following studies of this chapter will explore possible scenarios in further detail.



# Northern Development Scenarios



## **Tower Placement Studies**





# Northern Block Development

Summary of tower location scenarios





#### D

398 + 402-420 Pacific Highway
### Scenario A - Development of 398 Pacific Hwy







Scenario B - Development of 398 Pacific Hwy









### Scenario C - Development of 398 Pacific Hwy







Scenario D - Development of 398 Pacific Hwy







960m²



### 378-390 Block Analysis

- An analysis of blocks from Hume Street to Oxley street was undertaken to better understand the impact to the pacific highway street wall.
- This study mostly centered around the setback requirements to the West according to the Council Draft DCP & ADG controls, where an ADG setback of 15m was tested against a reduced setback. In all cases the reduced setback allowed a rectangular distribution of the FSR which reduced the street wall impact significantly and allowed better solar amenity and reduced visual impact through to neighbouring plots.
- The 378-390 block as shown indicates a tower development that abides the controls, resulting in an un-developable floorplate.





Approximate possible site FSR of 5.7 : 1 as shown

\*7.5 : 1 FSR as per the 2036 plan unlikely to be met with applied setbacks

Unrealistic floorplate area for development

### 378-398 Block Analysis

- An analysis of blocks from Hume Street to Oxley street was undertaken to better understand the impact to the pacific highway street wall.
- This study mostly centered around the setback requirements to the West according to the Council Draft DCP & ADG controls, where an ADG setback of 15m was tested against a reduced setback. In all cases the reduced setback allowed a rectangular distribution of the FSR which reduced the street wall impact significantly and allowed better solar amenity and reduced visual impact through to neighbouring plots.
- The 378-398 block as shown indicates an amalgamated tower development that abides the controls, resulting in a narrow floorplate & long street wall.





Approximate possible site FSR of 6.3 : 1 as shown

\*7.5 : 1 FSR as per the 2036 plan unlikely to be met with applied setbacks

Long buildings with more signif icant overshadowing impact

Narrow f loorplate would likely result in only single-aspect apartments

Unrealistic floorplate area for development

### 378-420 Block Analysis

- An analysis of blocks from Hume Street to Oxley street was undertaken to better understand the impact to the pacific highway street wall.
- This study mostly centered around the setback requirements to the West according to the Council Draft DCP & ADG controls, where an ADG setback of 15m was tested against a reduced setback. In all cases the reduced setback allowed a rectangular distribution of the FSR which reduced the street wall impact significantly and allowed better solar amenity and reduced visual impact through to neighbouring plots.
- The 378-420 block as shown indicates an amalgamated tower development & neighbouring development that abides the controls, resulting in multiple long street walls.
- The result is an inferior outcome with decreased solar amenity & increased visual impact to the western neighbours.





of 6 : 1 as shown

setbacks

impacts

Lengthy street walls along Pacific Highway

Unrealistic floorplate area for development

Approximate possible site FSR

\*7.5 : 1 FSR as per the 2036 plan unlikely to be met with applied

Long buildings with more signif icant overshadowing

Narrow floorplate would likely result in only single-aspect apartments

## 378-420 Block Comparison





#### Preferred Blocks

- Better solar amenity to Western plots
- More efficient floorplates with possibility for apartments with multiple aspects

The Tower design of 378-390 Pacific Highway is a residential area of 6800m2 GFA at an FSR of approximately 5.2 : 1. It yields a total of 72 apartments.

The Tower for 378-390 Pacific Highway has been through two iterations prior to the proposed envelope presented in this report. Following is a summary of the adjustments made to date and a further exploration of the concluding building envelope.

Also explored in this section is the tower interaction with the podium whereby we are proposing generous space at amenities level.

Housed there will be the wellness centre, changing rooms, structure, reticulation of tower building services and mechanical spaces for Pool facilities.

For the terraces and street, this manoeuver will increase solar amenity for terrace areas, open views from the street to the sky and allow for deep soil planting of trees to act as a buffer for visual, acoustic and wind protection.



# The Tower



### **Design Evolution & Process**



<image>

24th August Planning Proposal

- > 10th May 2021 The pre-application scheme, to set an indicative buildable envelope, and as a starting point to commence discussions regarding our interpretations of the setback requirements for the North Sydney DCP, 2036 Plan, LEP and ADG.
- > North Sydney Council expressed the need to comply with the 2036 Plan FSR in particular, as well as additional setbacks from Pacific Highway also indicated in the 2036 Plan.
- > 24th August 2021 Planning Proposal submitted with a 15% uplift in FSR and a re-visiting of the setbacks, particularly along Pacific Highway. As further studies were conducted, the overall height is controlled via a solar plane so as not to overshadow past the 2036 Plan boundary.
- > Key notes from North Sydney Council that affected the massing; reiterating not exceeding the FSR and that a reduction in height would also be supported.



> To date our current Tower massing complies with the number of storeys indicated in the 2036 Plan, compliant setbacks for both the DCP & 2036 Plan, number of levels for the 2036 Plan, FSR for 2036 Plan, and consideration towards ADG Guides.



#### 3rd November Amended Planning Proposal

- > Following feedback from the Planning Panel, the design has been refined to:
- > Provide an 8m weighted western boundary setback.
- Reduce the floor plate by 25sqm on each level, resulting in a reduction in FSR from 7.5:1 to 7.2:1 across the site.
- > Reduce the northern facade building length from 20.4m to 16.4m
- The amended design maintains 5 apartments per level and the 11.3m vertical void above the podium - both of which were supported by the Panel.

## Amenity level study



Amenity Vertical Void Section





## Amenity Level View Analysis

Existing proposal tower massing -----

New tower proposal improved access to ----sky views



Key Plan



View 1 (Pacific hwy North)



View 4 (Pacific hwy South)



View 2 (Pacific hwy)



View 5 (Hume St West)



View 3 (Hume St East)



View 6 (Nicholson Pl)



02 - Crown + Amenity Reduction

## Reference Project - Short Lane



# Reference Project - The Ivy







### Solar Access - June 21





The analysis considers the solar access of the amenity level on the winter solstice between the hours of 9:00am and 6:00pm

- 1. Western elevated perspective previous proposal
- 2.
- 3. Plan view of previous proposal
- 4. Plan View of amended proposal







#### Sunlight Hours

0 0.8 1.6

- Western elevated perspective amended proposal



### Solar Access - December 21





The analysis considers the solar access of the amenity level on the summer solstice between the hours of 9:00am and 6:00pm

- 1. Western elevated perspective previous proposal
- 2.
- 3. Plan view of previous proposal
- Plan View of amended proposal 4.







#### Sunlight Hours

0 0.8 1.6

- Western elevated perspective amended proposal



### Views & Solar Amenities





View east over Crows Nest towards Cremorne





Southerly views towards the CBD and Sydney Harbour



South Westerly views to Wollstonecraft & Greenwich

South East views towards North Sydney and the CBD

### Indicative Visual Assessment

This Visual Assessment has been undertaken to provide a graphic representation of the future development envelope at 378-390 Pacific Highway as it will generally be viewed from around the local context. The Assessment is made up of a total of eight view points taken of the subject site in the round and captured from existing street-scapes at approximate eyeheight in each instance.

Each of the graphic assessments illustrate the proposal as it is viewed from the street-scape alongside the future 2036 massing and also the approved Crows Nest Metro OSD sites. In each instance the Metro future OSD site is rendered blue, the future 2036 masterplan is rendered red and the subject site is rendered Green



378-390 Pacific Highway

Metro & OSD Works

SLCN 2036 Plan

The views demonstrate that the proposal is in keeping with the height and bulk of the overall massing envisioned and outlined within the St Leonard s Crows Nest 2036 Plan.

View 1 – 599 Pacific Highway

View 2 – 423 Pacific Highway

View 3– 31 Hume St

View 4- Hume St Park

View 5–5 Hume St

View 6-20 Nicholson St

View 7- The Wollstonecraft Club

View 8- Smoothey Park



View 1 - 599 Pacific Highway



View 2 - 423 Pacific Highway



# Visual Impact Analysis



View 3 -31 Hume St





View 7 - The Wollstonecraft Club



View 4 - Hume St Park



View 6 - 20 Nicholson St



View 8 - Smoothey Park

### Site Solar Constraints

6

#### **Objective 4A-1**

To optimise the number of apartments receiving sunlight to habitable rooms, primary windows and private open space

#### Design criteria

1. Living rooms and private open spaces of at least 70% of apartments in a building receive a minimum of 2 hours direct sunlight between 9 am and 3 pm at mid winter in the Sydney Metropolitan Area and in the Newcastle and Wollongong local government areas





### Overshadowing

#### \*Note

As indicated, units in the lower levels of the residential tower are overshadowed more severely by the future train station OSD.

Decreasing the height and/or number of levels proposed for the amenities would drop the overall RL's of the residential levels, therefore decreasing the percentage of apartments with adequate solar amenity.

> receives more than 2 hours of sunlight

receives less than 2 hours of sunlight





View from North West



View from South East

In all other areas, living rooms and private open 2. spaces of at least 70% of apartments in a building receive a minimum of 3 hours direct sunlight between 9 am and 3 pm at mid winter

3 A maximum of 15% of apartments in a building receive no direct sunlight between 9 am and 3 pm at mid winter



## Overshadowing Impact of Metro OSD

6

5

4

3

2

1

0

### Daylight Hours Received By 29-33 Nicholson Street

#### \*Note

Our findings are that plots 29-33 along Nicholson street are receiving significant overshadowing from the future OSD development and are not further affected from an overshadowing point of view by our massing.

The following shadow studies section will further explore this in more detail.

#### KEY

Note: measured on June 21 between the hours of 9am & 3pm



View from North West

View from South East



### Tower Floorplates

### Envelope Floorplate

- Application of the 2036 Plan, DCP & ADG site setbacks when considering tower floorplate
- Facade zone articulation, to break down massing potentially at apartment and/or balcony intervals
- Introduction of a large notch along the NorthWest facade, further articulating the urban form and increasing space for light & landscape to podium residential amenities

#### Pacific Highway



Hume Street

### Typical Floorplate 01

- Apartment mix consisting of 2 and 3 bedroom Bedroom Units
- Approximately 425m2 GFA per floor
  \*with nominal core shown
- Change in mix on 7.5m grid for structural efficiency and reducing structural transfers



### Typical Floorplate 02

- Meeting the need for 1 Bedroom sized living in the area ie. young professionals looking to take advantage of the 30 minute city
- Approximately 425m2 GFA per floor
  \*with nominal core shown





## **Tower Floorplates - ADG Checklist**















## Shadow Diagrams - June 21



425m <sup>2</sup>	23
 425m²	22
 425m²	21
 425m <sup>2</sup>	20
 425m²	19
 425m²	18
 425m²	17
 425m²	16
 425m²	15
 425m²	14
 425m <sup>2</sup>	13
 425m <sup>2</sup>	12
 425m <sup>2</sup>	11
 425m <sup>2</sup>	10
 425m <sup>2</sup>	09
 425m <sup>2</sup>	08
 420m*	
 50m2	
 50m²	
	04
 502m²	03
626m²	02
 651m²	01
	L
739m <sup>2</sup>	Ground











## Shadow Diagrams - June 21



\_.\_\_. \_\_\_\_Ground \_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_









## Wind Considerations

Month	NorthEast	South	West
Jan	х	х	
Feb	х	х	
Mar	х	х	
Apr		х	х
May			х
Jun			х
Jul			х
Aug			х
Sep		х	х
Oct	х	х	
Nov	х	х	
Dec	х	х	



Yearly Forecast



Wind Direction Analysis



- West terraces awning strategy - awnings & softscape







- Softscape buffering



Rounded form

Awnings Strategy to mitigate downwash

### Facade Articulation Strategies





## Elevation - NorthEast Pacific Hwy



402-420 Pacific Highway

## Elevation - SouthEast Hume St



Nicholson Street \_\_\_\_\_ 378-390 Pacific Highway Pacific Highway

Metro Station (Under Construction)

## Elevation - SouthWest Nicholson St



## Elevation - NorthWest Pacific Hwy



## 1:1000 Context Model



## **Spatial Planning**



### **Basement Typical**

- Retain ramp access from Hume Street as far from Pacific Highway as possible to reduce potential congestion.
- Ramp is for resi parking & access for deliveries & loading.
- Servicing to upper floors intended to take place through commercial lift/ goods lift.
- Carpark numbers TBD.

#### Pacific Highway.



#### Podium Ground

- GFA: 739m2
- Retail anchor at corner, as single block or divided into smaller tenancies.
- F&B frontage to activate Pacific Highway streetscape.
- Secure residential lift lobby accessed from within laneway, corporate lift (podium lift) with secure lobby also accessed from internal laneway.





### Podium Level 01

- GFA: 651m2
- F&B stair access from Ground to expand on L01 and have access to rear outdoor terrace spaces.
- Corner as Commercial block or possibly expansion of Ground anchor retail depending on the size of tenant.
- Residential lifts pass through to Amenity level and above.

### Podium Level 02

- GFA: 626m2
- Full commercial floorplate with terraces.
- Can be subdivided into multiple commercial tenancies.
- Residential lifts pass through to Amenity level and above.

## **Spatial Planning**



#### Podium Level 03

– GFA: 502m2

- Full commercial floorplate with terraces.
- Residential lifts pass through to Amenity level and above.



### Plant Level 04

– GFA: 0m2

- Residential outdoor amenities spaces in total covering >25% of total site area.
- Access to Pool Mechanical spaces as well as providing zones for deep soil tree planting.





### Amenities Level 05

- GFA: 50m2
- Residential outdoor amenities spaces in total covering >25% of total site area.
- Wellness centre & Pool area changing facilities.

### Amenities Level 06

- GFA: 50m2
- Voids for tall trees, also providing solar access to pool and decking areas.
- Second level of wellness centre.

## **Spatial Planning**

## Massing Section



### Tower Typical

– GFA: 425m2

 Apartment planning & types as previously outlined and according to ADG guidelines.



### Roof L24

 Space dedicated to mechanical plant & lift overruns, specifics TBD.

	PLANT
	425m <sup>2</sup>
	50m <sup>2</sup>
	50m²
	502m <sup>2</sup>
	626m²
_ · · · · · · · ·	651m <sup>2</sup>
_ · · · · · · ·	
	739m²



· \_\_\_\_ · \_\_\_

\_\_\_\_

## Indicative Massing Summary

Control Type	Site Specific	Indicative Massing
Height Limit	24 Storeys Maximum	24 Storeys
	(2036 Plan)	- x 16 Storey Tower
		- x 4 Mixed Amenities
		- x 4 Storey Podium
Overall FSR	7.5 : 1	7.2:1
	(2036 Plan)	
Non-Residential	2 : 1 Minimum	2 : 1 Podium
FSR	(2036 Plan)	
Residential FSR	5.5 : 1	5.2:1
	(2036 Plan)	
Setbacks	2036 Plan & DCP	Refer Diagram Below
	••••••	•••••







### Regulatory Summary

Regulatory Document	As Stated	WB Comme
SLCN 2036 Plan	– p70 map inficates 3m Pacific Highway setback.	
North Sydney Council DCP	– Part C 3.1.3 P10 Above Podium Setbacks map indicates 3m setbacks to Pacific Highway and Hume Street.	
ADG Guidelines	– 6m non-habitable wall facing possible future residential development.	

_	23	425.00	1
	22	425.00	
	21	425.00	
	20	425.00	
	19	425.00	
	18	425.00	
	17	425.00	
	16	425.00	
	15	425.00	
	14	425.00	
	13	425.00	
	12	425.00	
	11	425.00	
	10	425.00	
	9	425.00	
	8	425.00	
	7		
	6		
	5		
	4	100	
_	3	502.00	
	2	626.00	
	1	651.00	
	G	739.00	
	Ũ	,	

#### 378-390 Pacific Hwy - Site Area 1309m2

#### Commercial Area

TOTAL	2036 Plan
2618.00	2618.00
2.00	2.00

Residential Area		
TOTAL	2036 Plan	
6800.00	7199.50	
5 20	5 50	

#### **Overall Areas**

	TOTAL	2036 Plan
GFA	9418.00	9817.50
FSR		7.50

# WOODS BAGOT

#### Australia & New Zealand

#### Adelaide

Level 14, 11 Waymouth Street Adelaide SA 5000, Australia T +61 8 8113 5900

#### Auckland

Level 3, 106–108 Quay Street Auckland, New Zealand T +64 9 979 9490

#### Brisbane

Level 3, 262 Adelaide Street Brisbane Qld 4000, Australia T +61 7 3308 2900

Melbourne Mezzanine, 498 Little Collins Street Melbourne, Vic 3000, Australia T +61 3 8646 6600

#### Perth

The Palace, 108 St Georges Terrace Perth WA 6000, Australia T +61 8 9322 0500

#### Sydney

Level 2, 60 Carrington Street Sydney NSW 2000, Australia T +61 2 9249 2500

#### China

Beijing Level 5, Building 15 Taikoo Li Sanlitun North, No.11 Sanlitun Road Chaoyang Beijing, China 100027 T +86 10 6419 8555

#### Hong Kong

Level 22, The Centrium 60 Wyndham Street Central Hong Kong T +852 2526 6308

Shanghai Plaza 336, 9F 336 Middle Xizang Road Huangpu District Shanghai, China 200001 T +86 21 6023 1968

#### Shenzhen

Unit 781, 7/F, Tower A, SCC Financial Centre, 88 First Haide Road, Nanshan District, Shenzhen China 518054

#### Middle East

Abu Dhabi Suite 1413 MBC, Makeen Tower, Corner 9th & 10th Street Abu Dhabi, United Arab Emirates T +9712 657 3450

#### Dubai

Level 3, Suite 313, Emarat Atrium Sheikh Zayed Road Dubai, United Arab Emirates T +971 4 404 1600

North America

Los Angeles Bradbury Building, 304 South Broadway, Floor 2, Los Angeles, CA 90013 USA T +213 766 0445

#### New York

30 Broad Street, 7th Floor, New York NY 10004, USA T +1 646 756 3300

#### San Francisco

88 Kearny Street, Floor 19 San Francisco CA 94108, USA T +1 415 277 3000

#### South East Asia

Singapore 77 Duxton Road Singapore 089536 T +65 6800 0900

#### UK & Europe

London 75 Riding House Street, London W1W 7EJ United Kingdom T +44 20 7637 6880

#### Contacts

lan Lomas ian.lomas@woodsbagot.com T +61 2 9 249 2682

Piers Van Zandvliet piers.vanzandvliet@woodsbagot.com

Lucian Gormley lucian.gormley@woodsbagot.com



#### Follow us

- facebook.com/WoodsBagot
- @woodsbagot
- @woods\_bagot
- in linkedin.com/company/woods-bagot
- *p* pinterest.com.au/woodsbagot
- 轮 woodsbagot 伍兹贝格

#### WWW.WOODSBAGOT.COM